

Submission from University of St. Andrews

University of St. Andrews (Degrees in Medicine and Dentistry) Call for Views

1. Did you take part in any consultation exercise preceding the Bill and, is so, did you comment on the financial assumptions made?

Yes, the University of St Andrews has responded to the consultation preceding the Bill and had not commented on the financial assumptions at the time as the Bill is technical in nature and has no direct financial implications.

2. If applicable, do you believe your comments on the financial assumptions have been accurately reflected in the FM?

Not applicable.

3. Did you have sufficient time to contribute to the consultation exercise?

Yes, the consultation exercise ran over a number of weeks and provided sufficient time to contribute fully.

4. If the Bill has any financial implications for your organisation, do you believe that they have been accurately reflected in the FM? If not, please provide details.

The Bill has no financial implications and in that context the FM reflects implications accurately.

5. Do you consider that the estimated costs and savings set out in the FM are reasonable and accurate?

We agree that the Bill itself does not impact finances as it is of a technical nature.

6. If applicable, are you content that your organisation can meet any financial costs that it might incur as a result of the Bill? If not, how do you think these costs should be met?

Not applicable.

7. Does the FM accurately reflect the margins of uncertainty associated with the Bill's estimated costs and with the timescales over which they would be expected to arise?

Not applicable.

8. Do you believe that the FM reasonably captures any costs associated with the Bill? If not, which other costs might be incurred and by whom?

Yes, the Bill reasonably captures the position re. associated costs.

9. Do you believe that there may be future costs associated with the Bill, for example through subordinate legislation? If so, is it possible to quantify these costs?

The Bill is technical and only reverses legislation; no subordinate legislation is expected and there should be no associated future costs.